Local Ice-Bag Application and Triceps Surae Muscle Temperature During Treadmill Walking
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Context: Ice bags “to go” are a common practice in athletic training.

Objective: To determine the effect of submaximal exercise on tissue temperatures during a common ice-bag application.

Design: 2 X 5 fully repeated-measures design with treatment (cooling while resting, cooling while walking) and time (pretreatment, immediately after ice application, and at 10, 20, and 30 minutes during treatment) as the independent variables.

Setting: Laboratory setting.

Patients or Other Participants: Sixteen healthy, physically active volunteers (age = 21.63 ± 2.63 yrs, height = 68.97 ± 4.00 cm, mass = 80.97 ± 18.18 kg, calf skinfold = 21.1 ± 9.3 mm).

Main Outcome Measure(s): Left triceps surae intramuscular and skin temperatures, as measured by thermocouples to the nearest 0.1°C, served as dependent measures.

Intervention(s): After collecting baseline temperatures, we secured a 1.0-kg ice bag to the calf using plastic wrap before the subject either rested prone or walked on a treadmill at 4.5 km/h for 30 minutes.

Results: Treatment did not (P > 0.10) affect the ~15°C (P < 0.0001) surface temperature decrease, which remained depressed immediately upon ice-bag application (P < 0.05). Conversely, intramuscular temperature continually cooled (34 to 28°C) while subjects rested (P < 0.0001), whereas no change took place during walking (P = 0.49). Moreover, at the 20- and 30-minute treatment intervals, the resting intramuscular temperatures were, respectively, 3.9°C and 5.4°C cooler than the walking intramuscular temperatures (P < 0.01).

Conclusions: The current trend of wrapping “to go” ice bags to the leg is not likely to achieve deep tissue cooling despite surface temperature decreases.
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Cryotherapy is often used for its cooling effects on both superficial and intramuscular tissues, which lead to further physiologic changes.1–6 Among these changes are vasoconstriction and decreased metabolism, spasm and edema formation, and pain sensation.2 Although the true cellular events affected by cryotherapy are not fully understood,7,8 cryotherapy is considered to be the most beneficial treatment for rapidly reducing cellular metabolism, regardless of prior treatment activity level.9 Thus, some clinicians believe that ice bags should be applied to avoid overuse injuries. Moreover, if pain reduction is the main goal of the cryotherapy treatment, such as cryokinetics, then exercising while cooling may be of great benefit. However, the effect of exercise on tissue temperature while cooling has not been investigated.

Additionally, because compression enhances the intramuscular and surface cooling of a cold modality9 and time is often of the essence, ice bags are commonly applied “to go,” using an elastic or plastic wrap. This satisfies both the athlete’s need to continue with daily time commitments and the athletic trainer’s need to attend to other responsibilities. Although these intentions are good, it is not known if this to-go ice pack is effectively cooling the underlying tissues, especially if the target muscle tissue being cooled is submaximally active, such as the calf during walking.
To date, authors investigating the effects of exercise on cryotherapy treatments have looked at exercise before and after an ice treatment. Exercise before an ice treatment enhances cooling, whereas exercise after an ice treatment increases the rate of rewarming. What is lacking from the literature is information regarding the effect of exercise on a cryotherapy treatment when both are applied simultaneously. Therefore, our purpose was to determine the effect of walking on a common triceps surae ice-bag treatment when applied to previously rested and uninjured legs.

METHODS

Design

A 2 by 5 factorial design with repeated measures on both factors guided this study. The independent variables were treatment (ice while resting or ice while walking) and time (pretreatment, immediately after ice application, and at 10, 20, and 30 minutes during treatment). Superficial and intramuscular (1.5 cm plus half the skinfold measure) temperatures of the left triceps surae were the dependent variables.

Subjects

Sixteen healthy, physically active volunteers (9 males and 7 females; mean age, 21.63 ± 2.63 years; mean height, 1.69 ± 0.04 m; mean mass, 80.97 ± 18.18 kg; mean calf skinfold size, 21.1 ± 5.5 mm) consented to participate. Subjects who reported a history of vascular abnormalities, recent illness, acute lower extremity injury within the last 3 months, or allergies to cold application or antibiotics were excluded. Furthermore, to control for the effect of adipose tissue on the removal of heat, subjects with a measured posterior calf skinfold outside the 15- to 30-mm range were also excluded. This study was approved by the institutional review board.

Instruments

We used a skinfold caliper (Lange C-130; Beta Technology Inc, Cambridge, MD) to determine the superficial tissue thickness of the posterior calf at the greatest girth. Superficial temperature was measured using a superficial thermocouple (TX-29; Columbus Instruments, Columbus, OH). Intramuscular temperature was measured using a fine-wire, implantable type T thermocouple (TX-23-18; Columbus Instruments), which was inserted into the triceps surae through an 18-gauge by 4.76-cm intravenous catheter (Alliance Medical, Russellville, MO). Both thermocouples were connected to a portable temperature data acquisition device (Datalogger MMS 3000-T6V4; Commtest Instruments Ltd, Christchurch, New Zealand). The manufacturer recently calibrated the data acquisition device, and we verified that recordings were equal to a National Institute of Standards and Technology-traceable mercury in glass thermometer before data collection. The data from this device were electronically transferred to a desktop computer for statistical analysis.

Procedures

Dressed in gym attire, subjects reported to the Exercise Physiology Laboratory for 2 treatment sessions at the same time of day approximately 48 hours apart. The first session consisted of familiarizing and screening the subjects, obtaining informed consent, selecting treatment order according to a balanced order design, and data collection. The second session consisted of data collection only. Data collection involved preparing the subjects, inserting thermocouples, and collecting temperature data before and during the respective treatments. Approximately 15 minutes before data collection began, subjects were placed in the prone position on a treatment table. A surgical pen mark was made on the posterior aspect of the lateral head of the gastrocnemius at the greatest depth to identify the thermocouple insertion site. The mean of 3 consecutive vertical skinfold measurements at this site was recorded and divided by 2 to estimate superficial tissue thickness and added to 1.5 cm to ensure the thermocouple was located at the target depth.

A 25-cm² area at the insertion site was shaved, cleansed with povidone-iodine, and then swabbed with an alcohol preparation pad. Using the calculated target-depth measure, we measured and marked the intravenous catheter from the tip of the needle to ensure correct insertion depth. Similarly, the intramuscular thermocouple was marked 10 cm from its tip to ensure the correct insertion depth. The intravenous needle with catheter was implanted straight posterior to anterior at the specified target depth (Figure 1A), and the needle was retracted, leaving the flexible catheter embedded in the tissue (Figure 1B). The type T thermocouple was threaded into the catheter to the target depth. The thermocouple and catheter were secured to the skin with Dermiclear adhesive tape (Johnson & Johnson, New Brunswick, NJ) and Bioelusive dressing (Johnson & Johnson, Arlington, TX) (Figure 1C). Once the thermocouples were secured and connected to the data acquisition device, we began temperature recording. The room temperature in the laboratory was 22°C. Baseline temperature was established by averaging the 5-minute temperature recordings immediately pretreatment once the readings on the Datalogger remained unchanged (±0.1°C). This average temperature was used in the statistical analysis as the pretreatment measure. The temperatures were then recorded every minute for the duration of the treatment session. A plastic ice bag that measured 45.7 by 25.4 cm (Cramer Products, Inc, Gardner, KS) filled with 1.0 kg of ice nuggets (NME954WS-32A ice machine; Scotsman, Vernon Hills, IL) was secured to the calf using plastic wrap (GoodWrappers, Baltimore, MD). To maintain consistency of the ice-bag compression, the same certified athletic trainer applied all treatments. Additionally, a manometer (AirCast Inc, Summit, NJ) was used to quantify the compression of 10 pilot-tested ice treatments. The average of the compression produced by this type of ice application was 62.0 ± 6.8 mm Hg.

Once the ice bag was applied, the subject either remained prone (Figure 2A) or walked on a treadmill (MedTrack Controller ST65; Quinton, Inc, Bothell, WA) at a speed of 4.5 km/h for 30 minutes (Figure 2B). Thereafter, the thermocouple was removed and disinfected in a CidexPlus (Johnson & Johnson, New Brunswick, NJ) 3.4% glutaraldehyde solution for at least 20 minutes. The treatment area was cleansed with saline solution, and the insertion wound was covered with antibiotic ointment and an adhesive bandage. Information listing the signs and symptoms of infection and proper wound management was provided and discussed with each subject. This end-of-study wound care was applied after each session, and no subject reported any complications.
Table 1. Intramuscular Temperatures Across Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Pretreatment</th>
<th>Immediately After Ice Application</th>
<th>10 Minutes</th>
<th>20 Minutes</th>
<th>30 Minutes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resting</td>
<td>34.36 ± 1.75</td>
<td>34.24 ± 1.63</td>
<td>32.61 ± 1.83</td>
<td>30.25 ± 1.89*</td>
<td>28.49 ± 1.74*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>34.32 ± 1.58</td>
<td>34.21 ± 1.48</td>
<td>34.15 ± 2.19</td>
<td>34.11 ± 2.42*</td>
<td>33.87 ± 2.73*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Resting < walking; \( P < .0001 \).
tion was used for all F tests except for one, as noted. The 2 subsequent 1 by 5 analyses of variance revealed that the intramuscular temperature decreased over time in the resting group (F4,60 = 95.68, P < .001, \( \eta^2 = 0.64, 1 - \beta = 1.00 \)). However, temperature did not change in the walking group (F4,60 = 0.85, P = .50, \( \eta^2 = 0.02, 1 - \beta = 0.17 \)). Additionally, 3 of the 5 post hoc, dependent, 2-tailed t tests showed that the resting and walking groups started with the musculature at the same temperature (t30 = 0.070, P = .94, 1 - \( \beta = 0.05 \)), were similar at the time the ice pack was applied (t30 = 0.034, P = .97, 1 - \( \beta = 0.05 \)), and had not varied much by the 10-minute mark (t30 = 0.55, P = .57, 1 - \( \beta = 0.08 \)). The last 2 post hoc t tests indicated that the resting group’s intramuscular temperatures were less than those of the walking group at 20 (t30 = 5.03, P < .0001, 1 - \( \beta = 1.00 \)) and 30 (t30 = 6.63, P < .00001, 1 - \( \beta = 1.00 \)) minutes of treatment.

Different from the intramuscular results, the superficial temperatures decreased over time (F4,60 = 95.29, P < .0001, \( \eta^2 = 0.64, 1 - \beta = 1.00 \)), without an influence of the treatment (F1,15 = 3.04, P = .10, \( \eta^2 = 0.004, 1 - \beta = 0.37 \); the Geisser-Greenhouse \( \epsilon \) correction was not used for this F test) (Table 2, Figure 4). Post hoc multiple comparison analyses indicated that only the pretreatment temperature was higher than the other time measures.

**DISCUSSION**

Our results support previous findings that ice packs are effective in decreasing intramuscular temperature at rest.\(^{10,12,16}\) We found no change in intramuscular temperature during the ice and walking treatment, despite superficial recordings that showed skin surface cooling. Thus, exercising the muscle during cooling limits the cooling effect of an ice bag, despite skin surface cooling.

**Table 2. Superficial Temperatures Across Time**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment*</th>
<th>Pretreatment†</th>
<th>Immediately After Ice Application</th>
<th>10 Minutes</th>
<th>20 Minutes</th>
<th>30 Minutes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Resting</td>
<td>30.83 ± 1.42</td>
<td>16.99 ± 5.23</td>
<td>12.91 ± 5.37</td>
<td>14.88 ± 4.26</td>
<td>16.87 ± 3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>30.90 ± 0.99</td>
<td>15.97 ± 5.99</td>
<td>14.75 ± 4.76</td>
<td>15.19 ± 4.95</td>
<td>10.28 ± 7.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Resting = walking; P = .10.
†Pretreatment > immediately after ice application, 10 minutes, 20 minutes, and 30 minutes; P < .05.
ulate this is one possible reason why cooling was observed at
the skin surface and not in the muscle during the ice and walk-
ing treatment. Other factors include a constant production of
heat from the working muscle, as discussed earlier, and the
application technique. We suspect that the use of plastic wrap
to keep the ice bag in place provides both compression and
insulation for maximal thermal exchange with the ice bag.
However, this may also have inhibited the body’s natural
mechanism for thermoregulation by not allowing heat to es-
cape from the working muscle by either reduced cellular per-
fusion from the compression or radiation and evaporation ex-
changes by surrounding the area with plastic wrap and the
small dressing.

The approach of applying an ice bag to the calf and letting
the athlete walk is not effective for cooling deep muscle tissue.
However, we do not know if the same effect would be seen if
ice is placed on less active weight-bearing or upper extremity
muscles. We also do not know what effect a to-go ice bag has
on athletes after physical activity, which is something we have
begun investigating.

CONCLUSIONS

Wrapping a to-go ice bag over active lower leg muscles does
not induce temperature decreases in deeper tissues, despite
skin cooling, as does cooling while resting. Therefore, we sug-
gest that cooling be performed while resting the underlying
tissues to maximize thermal exchange of the deeper tissues.
With regard to pain control from the to-go ice bag, based on
the superficial temperature changes, it seems that the activity
level of the underlying tissues may not affect this positive
outcome. Moreover, it suggests that pain control may still oc-
cur rapidly; however, these areas need further investigation.
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